1 2 3	CHHABRA LAW FIRM, PC ROHIT CHHABRA (SBN 278798) Email: rohit@thelawfirm.io 257 Castro Street Suite 104 Mountain View, CA 94041 Telephone: (650) 564-7929	
4 5	Attorney for Plaintiffs Open Source Security Inc. & Bradley Spengler	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
9		
10	OPEN SOURCE SECURITY INC. and) Case No.: 3:17-cv-04002-LB
11	BRADLEY SPENGLER	
12	Plaintiff,	 STIPULATED REQUEST TO SET REVISED SCHEDULE FOR PROCEEDINGS ON MOTION FOR
13	v.	 PROCEEDINGS ON MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND MOTION EOD SANCTIONS, DECLARATION
14	BRUCE PERENS, and Does 1-50,	 FOR SANCTIONS; DECLARATION OF ROHIT CHHABRA IN SUPPORT THEREOF; [PROPOSED] ORDER
15	Defendants.) INEREOF; [FROFOSED] ORDER
16		
17) Location: Courtroom C, 15th Floor) Judge: Hon. Laurel Beeler
18		
19)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		3:17-CV-04002-LB

Case 3:17-cv-04002-LB Document 76 Filed 02/14/18 Page 2 of 6

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12, and subject to the Court's approval, Plaintiffs
 Open Source Security, Inc. and Bradley Spengler, and Defendant Bruce Perens hereby stipulate to the
 following revised dates and deadlines relating to Defendant's pending motion for attorneys' fees (ECF
 No. 62) and motion for sanctions¹ (ECF No. 64):

5	Event	Stipulated Date
6	Plaintiff's response to motion for attorneys' fees	March 8, 2018
		(Currently February 21, 2018)
7	Plaintiff's counsel's opposition to motion for	March 8, 2018
8	sanctions (subject to Court's determination of	(Currently February 22, 2018)
	Plaintiffs' counsel's ex parte motion (ECF No. 68)).	
9	Defendant's reply in support of motion for attorneys'	March 22, 2018
	fees	(Currently February 28, 2018)
10	Defendant's reply in support of motion for sanctions	March 22, 2018
_		(Currently March 1, 2018)
11	Combined hearing on Defendant's motion for	April 5, 2018
12	attorneys' fees and motion for sanctions	(Currently March 29, 2018)
12		

On February 7, 2018, Defendant filed a motion for attorneys' fees (ECF No. 62). On February 14 8, 2018, Defendant filed a motion for sanctions on Plaintiffs' counsel (ECF No. 64). On February 12, 15 2018, Plaintiffs' counsel requested an extension to respond to the motions. The reason for the 16 extension is that due to his existing commitments in other matters, responding to the motions within 17 the current timeframe would be impracticable without imposing an undue financial hardship. 18 On February 13, 2018, the parties conferred regarding a schedule and have agreed to the above 19 stipulated schedule, which extends deadlines for Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel to oppose and/or 20 respond to the motions as well as Defendant's reply thereof. 21 For the foregoing reasons, the undersigned parties respectfully request that: 22 (1) the deadline for Plaintiffs' response to motion for attorneys' fees be extended to March 8, 23 **2018** from February 21, 2018; 24 25 26 27 ¹ Subject to the Court's determination on Plaintiffs' counsel's exparte motion to determine the motion for sanctions improper on procedural grounds 28 -1-

13

Case 3:17-cv-04002-LB Document 76 Filed 02/14/18 Page 3 of 6

1	(2) subject to the Court's determination on Plaintiffs' counsel's ex parte motion to determine	
2	the motion for sanctions improper, Plaintiffs' counsel's reply in opposition to motion for	
3	sanction be extended to March 8, 2018 from February 22, 2018;	
4	(3) the deadline for Defendant's reply in support to his motion for attorneys' fees be extended	
5	to March 22, 2018 from February 28, 2018;	
6	(4) the deadline for Defendant's replies in support of his motion for sanction be extended to	
7	March 22, 2018 from March 1, 2018;	
8	(5) a combined hearing on both motions be extended to April 5, 2018 from March 29, 2018.	
9	The foregoing is so stipulated among the parties by and through their undersigned counsel.	
10		
11	Dated: February 14, 2018 CHHABRA LAW FIRM, PC ROHIT CHHABRA	
12	BY: /s/Rohit Chhabra	
13	Rohit Chhabra Attorney for Plaintiffs Open Source Security, Inc.	
14	and Bradley Spengler	
15		
16	Dated: February 14, 2018	
17		
18	MELODY DRUMMOND HANSEN HEATHER J. MEEKER	
19	CARA L. GAGLIANO O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
20		
21	By: /s/ Melody Drummond Hansen	
22	Melody Drummond Hansen Attorneys for Defendant Bruce Perens	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-2-	

Case 3:17-cv-04002-LB Document 76 Filed 02/14/18 Page 4 of 6

1	
2	ATTESTATION CLAUSE
3	I, Rohit Chhabra, hereby attest in accordance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) that each signatory has
4	concurred in the filing of this document.
5	Dated: February14, 2018
6	CHHABRA LAW FIRM, PC
7	ROHIT CHHABRA
8	/s/ Rohit Chhabra
9	Rohit Chhabra Attorney for Plaintiffs Open Source Security, Inc. and Bradley Spengler
10	Inc. and Bradley Spengler
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-3-

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	2 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT	T IS SO ORDERED.	
3			
4	Dated: February 14, 2018	The Honorphia Laural Realar	
5	5	The Honorable Laurel Beeler United States District Magistrate Judge	
6	3		
7	7		
8	3		
9)		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	5	-4-	

DECLARATION

1

2 I, Rohit Chhabra, declare as follows:

2	1.	I am the attorney of record for Plaintiffs in the above-referenced action, and am	
3 4	admitted to practice before this Court. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2(a), I submit this declaration in		
4 5	support of the parties' Stipulated Request to Set Revised Schedule for Defendant's motion for		
6	attorneys' fees and motion for sanction. I have personal knowledge and am informed of the facts		
7	stated herein and, if called to testify, I could and would testify completely hereto.		
, 8	2.	On February 7, 2018, Defendant filed a motion for attorneys' fees (ECF No. 62).	
9	3.	On February 8, 2018, Defendant filed a motion for sanctions on Plaintiffs' counsel	
10	(ECF No. 64).		
11	4.	On February 12, 2018, I requested an extension to respond/ oppose to the above	
12	referenced motions. The reason for the extension is that due to my existing commitments related to		
13	other matters, responding to the above referenced motions within the current timeframe would be		
14	impracticable without imposing an undue financial hardship on me.		
15	5.	On February 13, 2018, the parties conferred regarding a schedule and have agreed to the	
16	above stipulated schedule, which extends deadlines for Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel to oppose		
17	and/or respond to the motions as well as Defendant's reply thereof, as stipulated.		
18	6.	The parties' proposals are for the convenience of the parties and the Court.	
19			
20	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true		
21	and correct, and that this declaration was executed this 14th day of February, 2018 in Mountain View,		
22	California.		
23			
24		ROHIT CHHABRA	
25		/s/ Rohit Chhabra Rohit Chhabra	
26		Attorney for Plaintiffs Open Source	
27		Security, Inc. and Bradley Spengler	
28	 	-5-	
	1	-0-	