1

1 2	CHHABRA LAW FIRM, PC ROHIT CHHABRA (SBN 278798) Email: rohit@thelawfirm.io 257 Castro Street Suite 104 Mountain View, CA 94041	
3 4	Telephone: (650) 564-7929 Attorney for Plaintiffs Open Source Security Inc. &	
5	Bradley Spengler	
6		
7 8	NORTHERN DIST	S DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA CISCO DIVISION
9		
10	OPEN SOURCE SECURITY INC. and) Case No.: 3:17-cv-04002-LB
11	BRADLEY SPENGLER)) PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EX PARTE
12	Plaintiff,	 APPLICATION TO DEEM MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AS IMPORPER PURSUANT
13	v.) TO LOCAL RULE 7-8 (c) and (d)
14	BRUCE PERENS, and Does 1-50,)) Location: Courtroom C, 15th Floor
15	Defendants.) Judge: Hon. Laurel Beeler
16)
17)
18)
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
27		3:17-CV-04002-LB
28	Ex parte Application to Deem Motion for Sanct	

1

MOTION FOR SANCTIONS IS IMPROPER AS A MATTER OF PROCEDURE

2 "Any motion for sanctions, regardless of the sources of authority invoked, must comply with
3 the following: ... unless otherwise ordered by the Court, no motion for sanctions may be served and
4 filed more than 14 days after entry of judgment by the District Court." L.R. 7-8(d).

Furthermore, L.R. 7-8(c) states, "[t]he motion must comply with any applicable Fed. R. Civ. P.
and must be made as soon as practicable after the filing party learns of the circumstances that it alleges
make the motion appropriate;"

8 The instant motion for sanctions was filed and served on Thursday, February 8, 2018. *See* ECF
9 No. 64. Pursuant to L.R. 7-8(d), since judgment in the instant matter was entered on Wednesday,
10 January 24, 2018, thereby making last day to file a sanctions motion to be February 7, 2018.

Further, since all allegations stated in the motion for sanctions were allegedly undertaken by
the undersigned prior to the Court hearing date of December 14, 2017, Defendant had numerous
opportunities to file a motion for sanctions he now seeks. However, Defendant literally waited till the
last minute to file his motion for sanctions and has now missed his deadline. Therefore, even if
Defendant alleges a clerical error, he cannot provide any reasonable excuse why this motion was not
filed as soon as practicable after learning the circumstances that he alleges make the motion
appropriate.

18 Therefore, in the interest of judicial economy, the Court is requested to deem the motion for19 sanctions as improper and remove it from calendar.

20	
21	Date: February 10, 2018
22	
23	
24	Respectfully Submitted,
25	CHHABRA LAW FIRM, PC
	s/Rohit Chhabra
26	Rohit Chhabra
27	Attorney for Plaintiffs
28	
20	-1-

Case 3:17-cv-04002-LB Document 68 Filed 02/10/18 Page 3 of 3

1	DECLARATION	
2	I, Rohit Chhabra, declare:	
3		
4	1. I am the attorney representing Plaintiffs Open Source Security Inc., and Bradley Spengler	
5	("Plaintiffs") in the above referenced action. My office is located at 257 Castro St. 104 Mountain View	
6	California 94041. I am a member of the bar of the State of California. I have personal knowledge and I	
7	am informed of the facts stated herein and, if called to testify, could and would testify completely	
8	hereto.	
9		
10	2. The final judgment in this matter was entered on January 24, 2018, ECF No. 58.	
11		
12		
13	3. An email received from this Court's ECF system and review of the docket indicates that the	
14	motion for sanctions, ECF No. 64, was entered and filed on Thursday, 2/8/2018.	
15		
16	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is	
17	true and correct.	
18	Executed this 10th day of February 2018 in Mountain View, California.	
19		
20	Respectfully Submitted,	
21	CHHABRA LAW FIRM, PC	
22	<u>s/Rohit Chhabra</u> Rohit Chhabra	
23	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
24	Open Source Security Inc. & Bradley Spengler	
25		
26		
27		
28	-2-	
	3:17-CV-04002-LB	